Application No. 10/827,286 Docket No. 87361.4040

Customer No. 30734

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

for re Application of:  C. Alan Peet et al.	)	Confirmation No. 2657
Application No. 10/827,286	)	Group Art Unit: 1724
Filed: April 20, 2004	)	Examiner: Thomas M. Lithgow

For: NON-PLANAR MEDIA TRANSMISSION FILTER APPARATUS AND METHOD

WITH TRAY

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

United States Patent & Trademark Office Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment Randolf Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 29, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this Office Action in light of the following remarks. In the Office Action, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of the following groups:

- Claims 1-22 and 25, drawn to a filter, classified in class 210, subclass 232. I.
- Claim 23, drawn to a method of filtering, classified in class 210, subclass 767. II.
- Claim 24, drawn to a method of making a filter, classified in class 156, subclass III. 73.1.

In response, Applicants hereby elect Group I, Claims 1-22 and 25 for examination in this case, with traverse.

As set forth in M.P.E.P. §803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction: 1) the inventions must be independent or distinct, and 2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required. Applicants respectfully submit that the

Patent

Application No. 10/827,286 Docket No. 87361.4040

Customer No. 30734

Examiner has made no showing that there would be a serious burden to examine all the

embodiments of the application together.

Further, at the Examiner's disposal are powerful electronic search engines providing the

Examiner with the ability to quickly and easily search all the claims. Considering that the

Examiner will most likely undertake a search for the apparatus of claims 1, 12, and 18 that

include a filter media, searching for the remaining method claims that also include a method for

filtering and a method for assembling a filter would be minimally burdensome on Examiner.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the restriction

requirement and an action on the merits of all embodiments. Applicants reserve the right to

pursuer the subject matter in this or another application.

Respectfully submitted,

**BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP** 

Annette K. Kwok

Reg. No. 58,278

Date: July 18, 2006

Washington Square, Suite 1100

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036-5304

Telephone: 202-861-1561

Facsimile: 202-861-1783

2